Lehigh Valley Health Network LVHN Scholarly Works

Research Scholars

Mechanical Circulatory Support using Impella Devices for Cardiogenic Shock

Gabriella Gormas

James K. Wu MD Lehigh Valley Health Network, james.wu@lvhn.org

Follow this and additional works at: https://scholarlyworks.lvhn.org/research-scholars

Part of the Cardiology Commons, and the Critical Care Commons Let us know how access to this document benefits you

Published In/Presented At

Gormas, G., Wu, J.K. (2021, August). *Mechanical Circulatory Support using Impella Devices for Cardiogenic Shock.* Poster Presented at: LVHN Research Scholar Program Poster Session, Lehigh Valley Health Network, Allentown, PA.

This Poster is brought to you for free and open access by LVHN Scholarly Works. It has been accepted for inclusion in LVHN Scholarly Works by an authorized administrator. For more information, please contact LibraryServices@lvhn.org.

Mechanical Circulatory Support Using Impella for Cardiogenic Shock

Introduction

- Cardiogenic shock continues to be a prominent cause of mortality in patients suffering from various Cardiovascular issues.¹
- The Impella is a short-term ventricular assist device that is inserted percutaneously into a patients' artery.
- The Impella device has shown to be a possible treatment option for cardiogenic shock, decreasing the mortality rate associated with this condition.²

Objectives

- Determine the effectiveness of Impella in the treatment of cardiogenic shock.
- Analyze the outcome of patient survival rate after Impella device insertion.

Methods

- Extensive chart review of 55 adult patients diagnosed with cardiogenic shock between 2018 and 2021 in a surgical cohort.
- Obtain patient demographics, date of Impella insertion, Impella device size and/or type, and outcome of support using patient charts in EPIC.

Gabriella Gormas, Sajan Petel, Zach Appel, Maya Parekh, Dr. James K. Wu MD, MBA

Lehigh Valley Health Network, Allentown, Pennsylvania

Results Survival Function 1.0 0.8 0.4 0.2 Days Alive Since Impella Implantation Figure 1. Kaplan Meier Curve for the survival rate for the Impella device 30 days after insertion

Common Complications with Impella Support 4.5 3.5 2.5 1.5 0.5 **Right Arm** Device Ventri cular Is cha emia Arrhythmias Displace ment

Figure 2. Summary of medical complications resulting from Impella device implant







- (Figure 1)

- treatment of cardiogenic shock.

References and Acknowledgements

- Impella... : ASAIO Journal. LWW. latory_Support_Using.15.aspx.



Conclusions

• The approximate survival rate for patients after receiving the Impella device implant is 61.3%.

• 51% of the patients had persisting cardiogenic shock that led to or was a factor of their death. • 14.5% of the patients experienced a complication after the Impella device implantation with Device Displacement being the most common complication. (Figure 2)

Future Directions

• Continue to analyze the efficiency of the Impella device in a clinical setting and use results to modify treatment plans if necessary.

• Examine the outcome of Impella support in pediatric cardiovascular care, along with adult

• Investigate differences in Impella device sizes in the treatment of cardiogenic shock.

Pediatrics, F. the *D. of. (n.d.). Percutaneous Mechanical Circulatory Support Using

https://journals.lww.com/asaiojournal/FullText/2018/01000/Percutaneous_Mechanical_Circu

2. Mina Karami, C. A. den U. (n.d.). *Mechanical circulatory support in cardiogenic shock from* acute myocardial infarction: Impella CP/5.0 versus ECMO - Mina Karami, Corstiaan A den Uil, Dagmar M Ouweneel, Niels TB Scholte, Annemarie E Engström, Sakir Akin, Wim K Lagrand, Alexander PJ Vlaar, Lucia S Jewbali, José PS Henriques, 2020. SAGE Journals. https://journals.sagepub.com/doi/full/10.1177/2048872619865891.

