Lehigh Valley Health Network

LVHN Scholarly Works

Department of Surgery

Delayed Diagnosis of Hand Injuries in Polytrauma Patients (Poster)

Joshua M. Adkinson MD Lehigh Valley Health Network

Muhammad Shuja Shafqat MD

Lehigh Valley Health Network, Mohammad_S.Shafqat@lvhn.org

Sherrine Eid MPH

Lehigh Valley Health Network, Sherrine.Eid@lvhn.org

Marshall G. Miles DO

Lehigh Valley Health Network, Marshall.Miles@lvhn.org

Follow this and additional works at: https://scholarlyworks.lvhn.org/surgery

Part of the Other Medical Specialties Commons, and the Surgery Commons

Let us know how access to this document benefits you

Published In/Presented At

Adkinson, J., Shafqat, M., Eid, S., & Miles, M. (2011, October 20-23). *Delayed diagnosis of hand injuries in polytrauma patients*. Presented at the 28th Annual Meeting of the Northeastern Society of Plastic Surgeons, Amelia Island, FL.

This Poster is brought to you for free and open access by LVHN Scholarly Works. It has been accepted for inclusion in LVHN Scholarly Works by an authorized administrator. For more information, please contact LibraryServices@lvhn.org.

Delayed Diagnosis Of Hand Injuries In Poly-Trauma Patients

Joshua M. Adkinson, MD, M. Shuja Shafgat, MD, Sherrine M. Eid, MPH and Marshall G. Miles, DO, Lehigh Valley Health Network, Allentown, Pa.

Abstract

Hand injuries represent 5-10% of emergency room visits in the U.S. with significant economic effects. Trauma patients are at high risk for delayed diagnosis of concomitant injuries, including hand injuries, with reports in the literature as high as 50%. Our goal was to examine the risk factors for and incidence of the delayed diagnosis of hand injuries at a Level 1 Trauma Center. A retrospective review was performed on all admitted trauma patients from 2000 through 2009. Patient demographics, ISS, GCS, injury specifics, length of stay, and timing of hand iniury diagnosis related to admission date were noted. With an increase in ISS and a decreased GCS, trauma patients are increasingly at risk for delayed diagnosis of hand injuries with a concomitantly increased length of stay. As a delayed diagnosis of hand injuries has significant physical and economic implications for patients, every effort should be made to expedite diagnosis in the poly-trauma patient. A standardized evaluation of the trauma patient with a focused tertiary survey is mandatory, particularly with an altered mental status or multiple injuries.

Introduction

- Trauma patients are at a risk as high as 50% for delayed diagnosis of concomitant injuries and including hand injuries.
- Head injury and Glasgow Coma Score of ≤ 8, a greater Injury Severity Score, and childhood have been implicated as risk factors for missed injuries in poly-trauma patients.
- If diagnosis is delayed, patients may have a prolonged period of disability and longer hospital stays with associated increased cost.

Objective

• To examine the risk factors for and incidence of the delayed diagnosis of hand iniuries at a Level 1 Trauma Center.

Methodology

- Retrospective review of admitted trauma patients with hand injuries from January 1, 2000 through December 31, 2009
- Patients were assessed for :
- Age
- Gender
- Blood alcohol level
- Glasgow Coma Score (GCS)
- Timing of hand injury diagnosis

Injury Severity Score (ISS)

Mechanism, injury type

Length of stay (LOS)

- ISS scores were subcategorized into mild (<9), moderate (9-16), and severe (>16).
- . A multiple linear regression model was used to determine risk factors for delaying the diagnosis of hand injuries using SPSS 15.0.

Exclusion Criteria

- Isolated or conspicuous hand injuries.
- Distal radius fractures

Results

• 36,568 patients identified with 2736 with hand injuries. 738 patients met inclusion criteria

Table 1: Demographics

	N	Mean
Age	738	45.59
LOS	738	6.95
Diagnosis of Injury (Hospital day #)	738	.51
ISS	738	13.84
ETOH	424	50.17
GCS	691	13.89

Table 2: Day of Hand Injury Diagnosis

lable 2. Day of Hallu Ilijuly Diagliosis					
Day	Frequency	%	Cumulative %		
0	578	78.3	78.3		
1	96	13.0	91.3		
2	29	3.9	95.3		
3	9	1.2	96.5		
4	6	.8	97.3		
5	3	.4	97.7		
6	4	.5	98.2		
7	4	.5	98.8		
9	1	.1	98.9		
10	2	.3	99.2		
11	1	.1	99.3		
12	1	.1	99.5		
13	3	.4	99.9		
15	1	.1	100.0		
Total	738	100.0			

Table 3: Group Comparisons

	Delayed Diagnosis	N	Mean	Std. Deviation	p value	
Λαο	Yes	160	47.84	21.913	160	
Age	No	578	44.96	23.244	.160	
GCS	Yes	156	12.95	4.027	<.001	
665	No	535	14.16	2.698		
ЕТОН	Yes	111	57.73	92.459	200	
EIUH	No	313	47.50	88.036	.300	
ISS	Yes	160	17.57	10.864	<.001	
199	No	578	12.81	8.765		
LOS	Yes	160	10.41	11.024	<.001	
LUS	No	578	5.99	7.604		

Table 4: Logistic Regression Model (p<0.001)

	OR	95% Confidence Interval for Adjusted OR	
.004			
.035	.934	.877	.995
.001	1.020	1.008	1.032
.015	2.014	1.144	3.549
.050	1.890	.999	3.575
.058	1.605	.985	2.617
.057	1.610	.985	2.629
	.035 .001 .015 .050	.004 .035 .934 .001 1.020 .015 2.014 .050 1.890 .058 1.605	.004 .035 .934 .877 .001 1.020 1.008 .015 2.014 1.144 .050 1.890 .999 .058 1.605 .985

Table 5: Delayed Diagnosis by ISS Category Crosstabulation (p<0.001)

		ISS Category			Total
		Mild	Moderate	Severe	IUlai
Yes	N	50	33	77	160
	% within Delayed Diagnosis	31.3%	20.6%	48.1%	100.0%
	% within ISS Category	14.4%	24.1%	30.4%	21.7%
	N	298	104	176	578
No	% within Delayed Diagnosis	51.6%	18.0%	30.4%	100.0%
	% within ISS Category	85.6%	75.9%	69.6%	78.3%
	N	348	137	253	738
Total	% within Delayed Diagnosis	47.2%	18.6%	34.3%	100.0%
	% within ISS Category	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
		Yes % within Delayed Diagnosis % within ISS Category N No % within Delayed Diagnosis % within ISS Category N % within Delayed Diagnosis	N 50 Yes % within Delayed Diagnosis 31.3% % within ISS Category 14.4% N 298 No % within Delayed Diagnosis 51.6% % within ISS Category 85.6% N 348 % within Delayed Diagnosis 47.2%	Mild Moderate N 50 33 Yes % within Delayed Diagnosis 31.3% 20.6% % within ISS Category 14.4% 24.1% N 298 104 No % within Delayed Diagnosis 51.6% 18.0% % within ISS Category 85.6% 75.9% N 348 137 % within Delayed Diagnosis 47.2% 18.6%	Mild Moderate Severe N 50 33 77 Yes % within Delayed Diagnosis 31.3% 20.6% 48.1% % within ISS Category 14.4% 24.1% 30.4% N 298 104 176 No % within Delayed Diagnosis 51.6% 18.0% 30.4% % within ISS Category 85.6% 75.9% 69.6% N 348 137 253 % within Delayed Diagnosis 47.2% 18.6% 34.3%

Discussion

- 78.3% of patients were diagnosed on the day of admission, with 91.3% diagnosed by the following day.
- Patients with a decreased GCS and elevated ISS were noted to have a statistically significant delay in diagnosis.
- Length of hospitalization was also noted to be longer in the subset of patients with a delayed diagnosis of hand injuries.
- Metacarpal fractures were the predominant iniury in our study and also the most likely injury to be initially missed.
- · Motor vehicle collisions were the most common mechanism for injury in our patient population and the most common mechanism associated with a delay in diagnosis.

Limitations

- Retrospective review
- Unable to assess function outcomes or if patients required surgical intervention as a result of delay

Conclusions

- With an increase in ISS and a decreased GCS. trauma patients are increasingly at risk for delayed diagnosis of hand injuries with a concomitantly increased length of stay.
- Every effort should be made to expedite diagnosis in the poly-trauma patient.
- A standardized evaluation of the trauma patient with a focused tertiary survey is mandatory, particularly in patients with an altered mental status or with multiple injuries.
- In this subset of patients, however, a short delay in the diagnosis of hand injuries may be unavoidable.

