Lehigh Valley Health Network LVHN Scholarly Works

Research Scholars Poster Presentation

Statistical Analysis of the Workload on the LVHN Observation Unit

Mohamed Kashkoush University of Pittsburgh - Main Campus

Follow this and additional works at: https://scholarlyworks.lvhn.org/research-scholars-posters Let us know how access to this document benefits you

Published In/Presented At

Kashkoush, M., (2014, July, 25) *Statistical Analysis of the Workload on the LVHN Observation Unit.* Poster presented at LVHN Research Scholar Program Poster Session, Lehigh Valley Health Network, Allentown, PA.

This Poster is brought to you for free and open access by LVHN Scholarly Works. It has been accepted for inclusion in LVHN Scholarly Works by an authorized administrator. For more information, please contact LibraryServices@lvhn.org.



Background

The LVHN Observation Unit (OU) is an extension of the LVHN Emergency Department (ED) and Hospital Medicine Service. This OU is where patients are sent after ED admission if they are not sick enough to require an inpatient admission, but still require medical attention before they can be discharged [1]. These patients rarely have life threatening symptoms, but are more likely to struggle with pain or discomfort [1][.It is believed that the OU patients require more work from nurses because the nurses have 48 hours to complete work that nurses in other units have 96 hours to spread out.

The OU staff believes that they should be responsible for fewer patients per nurse than other Med/Surg unit nurses are responsible for. However, OU nurses are currently responsible for 6-8 patients, while Med/Surg unit nurses are responsible for 5-6 patients [2]. In the opinion of the OU staff, 4-5 patients per nurse is an appropriate patient-nurse ratio for the OU [2].

Methodology

Twelve hours of observation were conducted on both the Observation Unit (5C) and a comparable Medical-Surgery Unit (7BP).

A set of typical tasks and procedures were identified in order to differentiate between medical value added to a patient and other occupational tasks required of the nurses.

Along with the process identifier, the start and end time of each process, and the room number of the patient involved was recorded. The observed nurses were chosen simply through assignment by the present Charge Nurse of the unit. These observations were performed on 6 nurses in the 5C unit and 4 nurses in the 7BP unit, totaling 24 observed hours and 692 observed processes on 57 patients. The data was then manipulated in many ways in order to run two-sample t-tests through Minitab, a statistical analysis software. This two-sample t-test was chosen because it highlighted the differences between the 7BP and 5C data sets.

> **Duration of Time Spent Per Patient Care Interaction 5C vs 7BP** Summary Report



Statistical Analysis of the Workload on the LVHN Observation Unit

Mohamed Kashkoush University of Pittsburgh

Lehigh Valley Health Network, Allentown, Pennsylvania

Results

7BP PC Times 41 6.3659 (4.8107, 7.9210) 4.9270 -3.6601 (-5.2918, -2.0284)

In the 10 different observation periods on the 5C and 7BP, the 5C operated on average at a 6:1 patient-nurse ratio, comparing to the 7BP at a 5.25:1 patient-nurse ratio. 20 different t-tests were conducted, providing metrics such as mean, standard deviation, sample size, and p-values of the two data sets. The results are as follows:

Comparison	Stat	5C	7BP	Difference/p-	11) Percent of	SS	6	4	Vac
1) Time spent between tasks	SS	402	214	Value	observation period spent on paper work	Mean	2.47 3%	0.713%	Yes
	Mean	0.24 876	0.24299	No		STDV	1.14 1%	0.744%	0.021
	STDV	0.84 323	1.137	0.948	12) Percent of	SS	6 7.10	4	Νο
2) Percent of	SS	36	21		observation	Mean	8%	2.280%	
observation period spent	Mean	11.3 25%	15.539%	Yes	on phone	STDV	8.18 2%	2.615%	0.227
on one	STDV	7.34	7.034%	0.038	13) Amount of	SS	36	21	
3) Duration of time spent per patient care interaction	SS	81	41		medicine checks per patient	Mean (0.05	0.04762	No
	Mean	2.70 58	6.3659	Yes		STDV	0.23 231	0.21822	0.898
	STDV	2.33	4.927	0	14) Amount of	SS	36	21	
4) Duration of time spent per computer work	SS	31 154	101		computer work instances per patient 15) Amount of consults per patient	Mean	4.27 780	4.80950	No
	Mean	1.18 05	1.83	Yes		STDV	3.37 730	2.71330	0.518
	STDV	1.11	1.8651	0.002		SS	36	21	
5) Duration of time spent per idle period	SS	34	16	No		Mean	0.50 000	1.33333	Yes
	Mean	2.50 98	3.1771			STDV	0.77 460	1.15470	0.006
	STDV	2.15	2.8031	0.408	16) Amount of	SS	36	21	
6) Duration of time spent per nurse consult	SS	36	36		patient care instances per patient	Mean	$an \frac{2.22}{222}$ 2.05000	2.05000	No
	Mean	1.44 44	2.8333	Yes		STDV	1.86	1.60510	0.719
	STDV	1.29	1.9011	0.001		SS	36	21	
7) Percent of observation spent on computer work 8) Percent of observation spent on Patient Care	55	16 6	Δ		 17) Amount of paper work instances per patient 18) Amount of 	Mean	0.47	0 14286	Yes
	Mean	24.6	25.642%	No			222 0.77	0.25057	0.024
	STDV	6.86	6.625%	0.82		SIDV	408 36	21	0.034
	SS	0% 6	4		supply	Mean	0.66	0 42857	No
	Mean	29.4 27%	36.012%	No	retrieval instances per patient	STDV	667 0.98	0.74642	0 308
	STDV	11.2	16.401%	0.522		SS	561 36	21	0.308
9) Percent of observation period spent on consult	SS	6	4		19) Amount of phone calls per patient	Mean	0.22	0.04762	No
	Mean	11.1 70%	18.438%	No		STDV	222 0.48	0.21822	0.068
	STDV	5.60	8.414%	0.204		SS	469 36	21	0.000
10) Percent of observation spent on Supplies	SS	3% 6	4		20) Amount of		0.11	0 100 40	No
	Mean	7.57 8%	2.608%	Yes	beep responses per	iviean	111 0.52	0.19048	
	STDV	3.40	2.107%	0.025	patient	3100	251	0.40237	0.524

Table of results of 20 t-tests. SS=Sample Size. STDV= Standard Deviation. The difference column signifies whether or not the tests showed a conclusive statistical difference between the 5C and the 7BP in the given metric. Note: Numbered comparisons correspond to numbered list in conclusion section.

References: [1] Martinez, E., Reilly, B., Evans, A., & Roberts, R. (2001). The observation unit: a new interface between inpatient and outpatient care. The American Journal of Medicine, 110(4). http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0002-9343(00)00710-5

[2] Teets, C. (2014, June 26). [Personal interview by M. Kashkoush]. [

The following can be summarized in regards to the workload on nurses in both units: Both units spend approximately the same amount of idle time in between processes. 2. Nurses in the 7BP unit spend a higher percentage of shift time on direct patient care of any given patient than do nurses in the 5C unit.

- they do in the 5C unit.
- they do in the 5C unit.
- they do in the 5C unit.
- than do nurses in the 5C unit.
- patient than do nurses in the 7BP unit.
- work than do nurses in the 7BP unit.
- than do nurses in the 7BP unit.
- frequently as each other.
- 5C unit.
- frequently as each other.
- nurses in the 7BP unit.
- than did nurses in the 7BP unit.

The two units share a very similar workload, although the work was completed in different fashions. The general trend of the two units' work flows are which the 5C unit's nurses conduct many short tasks more frequently, while the 7BP unit's nurses conduct longer, less frequent tasks. This is supported by the evidence that each floor was observed for 12 hours, but 437 processes were observed in the 5C unit, while only 250 processes were observed in the latter. However, the average process in the 5C unit only lasted 1.65 minutes while the average process in the 7BP unit lasted 2.88 minutes.

operate at a 5:1 patient-nurse ratio.

Conclusion

3. Individual periods of direct patient care in the 7BP unit take more time to conduct than

4. Individual periods of computer work in the 7BP unit take more time to conduct than

5. Individual idle periods in the 7BP unit are lengthier than they are in the 5C unit. 6. Individual periods of nurse consultation in the 7BP unit take more time to conduct than

7. Both units spend approximately the same percentage of shift time on computer work. 8. Nurses in the 7BP unit spend a higher percentage of shift time on direct patient care

9. Nurses in the 7BP unit spend a higher percentage of shift time consulting either a doctor, nurse, patient's family member, or other employee than do nurses in the 5C unit. 10. Nurses in the 5C unit spend a higher percentage of shift time gathering supplies for a

11. Nurses in the 5C unit spend a higher percentage of shift time completing patient paper

12. Nurses in the 5C unit spend a higher percentage of shift time on their work cell phone

13. Nurses in both units delivered or checked any given patient's medicine approximately as frequently as each other. This process in itself was performed rarely in both units.

14. Nurses in both units completed any given patient's computer work approximately as

15. Nurses in the 7BP unit consulted either a doctor, nurse, patient's family member, or other employee in regards to any given patient more frequently than did nurses in the

16. Nurses in both units conducted direct patient care on any given patient approximately as

17. Nurses in the 5C unit completed any given patient's paper work more frequently than did nurses in the 7BP unit. This process in itself was performed rarely in both units. 18. Nurses in the 5C unit retrieved supplies for any given patient more frequently than did

19. Nurses in the 5C unit completed more phone calls for any given patient more frequently

For these reasons, it can be concluded that the patient-nurse ratios in the two units should be equivalent. It is recommended that the 5C OU

© 2014 Lehigh Valley Health Network



610-402-CARE LVHN.org