Lehigh Valley Health Network LVHN Scholarly Works

Research Scholars Poster Presentation

The Feasibility of the Use of Video Capture, Feedback Process in the Obstetrics and Gynecology Residents

Sean Adams Catholic University of America

Martin A. Martino MD Lehigh Valley Health Network, martin_a.martino@lvhn.org

Joseph E. Patruno MD Lehigh Valley Health Network, joseph_e.patruno@lvhn.org

Timothy M. Pellini MD Lehigh Valley Health Network, Timothy_M.Pellini@lvhn.org

Follow this and additional works at: https://scholarlyworks.lvhn.org/research-scholars-posters Let us know how access to this document benefits you

Published In/Presented At

Adams, Sean; Martino, Martin A., MD; Patruno, Joseph E., MD; Pellini, Timothy M., MD (2015, July 31). *The Feasibility of the use of Video Capture, Feedback Process in the Obstetrics and Gynecology Residents.* Poster presented at LVHN Research Scholar Program Poster Session, Lehigh Valley Health Network, Allentown, PA.

This Poster is brought to you for free and open access by LVHN Scholarly Works. It has been accepted for inclusion in LVHN Scholarly Works by an authorized administrator. For more information, please contact LibraryServices@lvhn.org.

The feasibility of the use of a VideoCapture, feedback process in the surgical training of obstetrics and gynecology residents Sean Adams, Marty Martino MD, Joe Patruno MD, Tim Pellini MD

Background

- Operative training and technical skills are import OBGYN residency training to assure residents c independently and to assure patient safety ⁽³⁾
- Previous models to assure competency in the operating room have included simulation training, tallying case numbers, and peer evaluation none of which have been well validated.
- Video capture technology offers opportunity to better and more objectively monitor and assess surgical performance, and perhaps predict patient outcomes ⁽²⁾
- Use of Videocapture footage may improve the technical skill of residents through external feedback channels and as a tool for self-assessment
- Our primary goal was to asses the feasibility of SimCapture® system as a method of recording and assessing surgical performance of residents

Methods

- Protocol reviewed by IRB and considered exempt as educational protocol
- Video captured resident surgical performance and as primary surgeons for various procedures in OBGYN over 6 week period.
- Oriented to the use of SimCapture.....
- Industry review of the technology and capabilities Piloted the technology and process in the Simulation Lab using high fidelity simulators (Symbionix, Mimic)
- Proctored cases in OR with investigating attendings
- Independently recorded cases in OR using video capture, feedback process (see figure 1)

Figure 1: Video capture feedback process



Lehigh Valley Health Network, Allentown, Pennsylvania

Results

Figure 3:

Record

tant in	
can operate	

Figure 2: Number of cases recorded for each procedure			
Procedure	Number of cases recorded		
Hysteroscopy	11		
Robotic Hysterectomy	8		
C section	17		
BTL	3		
Total	39		





Figure 6: Summary of feasibility form results (see figure 4)

	Average time to set up equipment	5.7 min
	Average time to disassemble equipment	3.2 min
	Average time to debrief	3.6 min
	Percent of cases where debrief occurred	61%
	Percent of cases where resident was receptive to process	97%
	Percent of cases where attending was receptive to process	95%
	Percent of cases where OR staff was receptive to process	97%

4) Make annotation whenever resident starts/stops operating

Percentage	of cases	we reco	orded

ed	Missed	Total	Percent Recorded
	25	64	61%

Figure 5: Feasibility form

Student Feedback and Feasibility Survey

Ca	ase	e MR ##	
	1. 2. 3.	Time to set up for the case?MinutesTime to deconstruct after the caseMinutesTime taken for debriefing processMinutes	
	4. 5.	Did debriefing occur? Yes 🖾 No 🖾 If not why:	
	6.	. Was the resident surgeon receptive to the SimCapture process and	protocol?
		Yes 🖄 No 🖾 Unsure 🖾 Comment:	
	7.	. Was the Attending surgeon receptive to the SimCapture process an	d protocol?
		Yes 🖾 No 🖾 Unsure 🖾 Comment:	
	8.	. Was the operative and surgical staff receptive to the SimCapture p	rocess and protocol?
		Yes 🖸 No 🖾 Unsure 🖾 Comment:	
	9.	Any unforeseen issues during the case or concerns?	
	10.	0. Was all of the required documentation completed at the completion	n of the procedure?
		 Milestone Evaluation (Resident) Milestone Evaluation (Attending) OPRS Evaluation (Resident) OPRS Evaluation (Attending) Debriefing regarding the case (Resident and Attending) 	
2	ilt	ts	
		Figure 7: Reasons for deb (15 cases	rief not occurring ;)



- time cases
- evaluated by blinded experts
- Obstacles included

- Positive responses from staff

- Attaching camera to IV pole
- cases

for Robotic Hysterectomy



- Gynecologists, 119(4), 693-694.
- Training. www.jmig.org.

Conclusion

Process was feasible and well accepted Improved efficiency with experience and over time Increased acceptance of surgeons and staff over

Effective tool to record both endoscopic and open

Created video capture files able to be sent and

Technology (missing components to system,

Last minute alterations in surgical schedules

Residents not operating as primary surgeon

Resident and attending not having time to debrief

nurses welcoming (eg. adjusting camera for team...) Resident asking to see video's of their performance and video being used to provide feedback at point of care and during debrief/feedback sessions

Innovations necessary to make process more effective

Need methods of better assessing vaginal surgical

References

Levy, B. (2012). Experience Counts. American College of Obstetricians and

Birkmeyer, J. (2013, October 10). Surgical Skill and Complication Rates after Bariatric Surgery. <u>www.nejm.org</u>.

3. Antosh, D. (2012, December 8). Blinded Assessment of Operative Performance After Fundamentals of Laparoscopic Surgery in Gynecology Training, www.imig.org. © 2014 Lehigh Valley Health Network

Lehigh Valley Health Network

610-402-CARE LVHN.org