Lehigh Valley Health Network

**Research Scholars Poster Presentation** 

#### Physician Screening for Elder Abuse in the Emergency Department: A Literature Review

William M. Worrilow Davidson College

Follow this and additional works at: https://scholarlyworks.lvhn.org/research-scholars-posters Let us know how access to this document benefits you

#### Published In/Presented At

Worrilow, W., (2015, July 31) *Physician Screening for Elder Abuse in the Emergency Department: A Literature Review.* Poster presented at LVHN Research Scholar Program Poster Session, Lehigh Valley Health Network, Allentown, PA.

This Poster is brought to you for free and open access by LVHN Scholarly Works. It has been accepted for inclusion in LVHN Scholarly Works by an authorized administrator. For more information, please contact LibraryServices@lvhn.org.

# Physician Screening for Elder Abuse in the Emergency Department: A Literature Review

## Background

- The World Health Organization defines elder abuse as a "single or repeated act, or lack of appropriate action, occurring in any relationship where there is an expectation of trust, that causes harm or stress to an older person [1].
- By the year 2030, 19.3% of the United States population will consist of people aged 65 years or older [3].
- This statistic suggests more elder abuse cases and reports, with 1/12 currently being abused, a higher volume of patients 65 years or older visiting the Emergency Department, and a greater necessity for Emergency Medicine physicians to have effective, ER-validated elder abuse screening tools at their disposal so that they can detect, assess, treat, and refer these patients.
- In one study, a 44 question national survey was taken by American Emergency physicians. 74% were not certain that definitions of elder abuse existed and 58% of the physicians believed they could not accurately identify cases of abuse [7].
- Evaluating the elder abuse screening and assessment instruments is a critical initial step in making the process of detection for elder abuse by Emergency Medicine physicians more simple.

# **Objectives/ Methodology**

### **Objectives**

- Identify validated elder abuse screening instruments and their applicability to the Emergency Department.
- Spread awareness to LVHN Emergency Medicine physicians about their unique role in detecting abuse in their geriatric patients and inform them about the instruments at their disposal through an educational podcast.

### Methodology

- Medline literature search, June-July 2015
- Keywords: Elder abuse, elder mistreatment, screening instruments, tools, Emergency Department physicians, Emergency Department, and assessment strategies.
- Articles in the English language with these keywords, ranging from 1991 to 2015 were included in the search on Medline.

## Mentor: Robert D. Barraco MD, MPH

Lehigh Valley Health Network, Allentown, Pennsylvania

## Elder Abuse Screening Tools

#### Table 1: Direct Question Tools Probler Description Name Benefit Very high Earliest direct question tool H-S/East-15 items covering 3 domains: negative (Hwalekovert violation of personal rights Quick an Sengstock Elde or direct abuse, characteristics of use **Abuse Screenin** [29]. vulnerability to abuse, or test) potentially abusive situation [29, 30]. H-S/East + 2 questions More val VASS-(Vulnerability to Self-report tool [27]. tests nee

Positive Abuse Screenin correlatio Scale) risk facto abuse [2 10-item tool for patient self-High crite Self-Disclosure disclosure. Covers all 7 types of validity [ Tool abuse [28]. Most recent interview tool EASI- (Elder Created created for assisting physicians accessibl **Abuse Suspicion** specifica Consists of 6 questions [21]. Index)\* physiciar CASE- (Caregiver 8-item questionnaire answered by Good cor caregivers. Identifies caregiver validity. Abuse Screen)\* possibly guilty of physical, confront psychological abuse or neglect wording |24|.

#### Table 2: Signs of Abuse Tools

Table El Biglis el Albase Teels				
Name	Description	Problems/ Benefits	Validity	Year
EAI- (Elder Abuse	42-item screening tool covering	Good internal and test-retest	Tested in the ER.	2004
Instrument)*	5 categories: general	reliability. Good sensitivity,	71% sensitivity,	
	assessment, possible abuse,	weaker specificity. Used	93% specificity, and	
	neglect, exploitation, and	efficiently by ER nurses [18,26].	content validity	
	abandonment indicators [17,	Takes 12-15 minutes [30].	[30].	
	30].			
Signs of Abuse	Tool that lists signs for each	Difficulty finding conclusive	Internal	2007
Inventory	type of abuse. The severity of	signs of psychological abuse.	consistency ranging	
	each sign is rated on a 0-4 scale,	Good internal consistency	from 0.67-0.91	
	4 being extreme [18,25].	[18,25].	[17].	

### Table 3: Risk of Abuse Tools [17, 23, 30]

IOA- (Indicators of	40-item survey	Takes about 2-3	Discriminant and convergent validity	
(Indicators of			Discriminant and convergent validity.	1998
	First validated Risk of	hours to complete.	Evaluated with 341 people via interview.	
Abuse)	abuse tool. Created for	High internal	Identified 84% abuse cases and 99% of	
	trained professional.	reliability. High	non-abuse cases.	
		specificity.		
E-IOA-	OA items are broken	Takes about 2-3	Content, criterion, and discriminant	2002
(Expanded d	lown into a series of sub-	hours to complete.	validity confirmed. Correctly classified	
Indicators Of ir	ndicators.		92.9% of the probably abused 731	
Abuse) R	Risk is rated on a 1-4 scale.		participants.	

(\*) – These tools are recommended for use in the Emergency Department.

William M. Worrilow

ms/ :s	Validity	Year			
h false- rate. nd easy to	Validity data are small from unrepresentative samples and low internal consistency. Apt for a social service agency setting [30].	1991			
lidity eded. ons with ors of [7].	Tested in a 12,000 female group. Good construct validity [27, 30].	2002			
erion [28].	Criterion and content validity determined [17].	2007			
to be le Illy to ns [21].	Administered by physicians to 663 elder patients. Sensitivity of .47 and specificity of .75 [21, 30].	2008			
nstruct Non- ational [24].	Tested among 139 caregivers. Good construct validity, concurrent, convergent validity and reliability [24, 30].	1995			

- Department [18].

- models.

- Emergency Department.

## Discussion

According to one of the most current literature reviews on elder abuse screening instruments, some of the screening instruments above were created for the Emergency Department setting, however, only one tool, the EAI, was actually tested in the setting [26, 30].

Many of the instruments in **Tables 1-3** are not suitable to the ER according to their validation studies and descriptions.

• The EAI was the only tool tested in the ER and has been used successfully by research nurses in the ED and is appropriate for the Emergency

• Although its authors validated the tool for family physicians, a more current literature study states EASI is for the "physician" [17] and quick enough that it could be used in the ER. CASE is a rapid direct questioning tool that was created for "all clients." The Emergency Medicine physician can use this tool to interview the care giver in only 8 questions [24] detecting care giver abuse risk factors through positive results. • These instruments cannot be used to detect abuse in cognitively impaired patients. If the patient does have cognitive dysfunction, the Emergency Medicine physician can use the only validated elder abuse screening tool for cognitively dysfunctional patients, the Conflicts Tactics Scale [17]. • These instruments only suggest the likely presence of abuse; they do not diagnose elder abuse and do not include geriatric elder abuse assessment

### Recommendations

• Emergency Medicine physicians simply do not know enough about current screening instruments because there is a lack of education regarding the identification and treatment of elder abuse, there is no standard for diagnosis of EA, and there are no standardized criteria by which an EA screening tool can follow to be validated for use in the

• As many studies state, more research must be done on deriving a criterion standard for the evaluation and diagnosis of elder

mistreatment. In addition, there is not enough research done in testing the validity of these suggested instruments in the ER.

• Future research should involve evaluating the applicability of these elder abuse instruments to different settings including the Emergency Department and how they apply to their user.

© 2014 Lehigh Valley Health Network



610-402-CARE LVHN.org