

Incidence and Predictors of Permanent Pacemaker Implantation after Valve Surgery – A Single Center Experience

Justin L. Guthier DO

Lehigh Valley Health Network, Justin_L.Guthier@lvhn.org

Bilal Ayub MD

Lehigh Valley Health Network, Bilal.Ayub@lvhn.org

Yassir Nawaz MD

Lehigh Valley Health Network, Yassir.Nawaz@lvhn.org

Hassam Saif MD

Lehigh Valley Health Network, Hassam.Saif@lvhn.org

Robert F. Malacoff MD, FACC

Lehigh Valley Health Network, Robert.Malacoff@lvhn.org

See next page for additional authors

Follow this and additional works at: <https://scholarlyworks.lvhn.org/medicine>



Part of the [Cardiovascular Diseases Commons](#), and the [Medical Sciences Commons](#)

Let us know how access to this document benefits you

Published In/Presented At

Guthier, J., Ayub, B., Nawaz, Y., Saif, H., Malacoff, R.F., Weiss, M., Phillips, T., Wu, J.K., Singer, R., Mehta, S.M., Szydlowski, G. & Freudenberger, R. (2014, July 28). *Incidence and Predictors of Permanent Pacemaker Implantation after Valve Surgery-A Single Center Experience*. Poster presented at the International Academy of Cardiology, Annual Scientific Sessions 2014, Boston, MA.

This Poster is brought to you for free and open access by LVHN Scholarly Works. It has been accepted for inclusion in LVHN Scholarly Works by an authorized administrator. For more information, please contact LibraryServices@lvhn.org.

Authors

Justin L. Guthier DO; Bilal Ayub MD; Yassir Nawaz MD; Hassam Saif MD; Robert F. Malacoff MD, FACC; Michael J. Weiss MPH; Theodore G. Phillips MD; James K. Wu MD; Raymond L. Singer MD; Sanjay M. Mehta MD; Gary W. Szydlowski MD; and Ronald S. Freudenberger MD

Incidence and Predictors of Permanent Pacemaker Implantation after Valve Surgery – A Single Center Experience

Justin Guthier DO, Bilal Ayub MD, Yassir Nawaz MD, Hassam Saif MD, Robert F. Malacoff MD, Michael Weiss, Theodore G. Phillips MD, James K. Wu MD, Raymond L. Singer MD, Sanjay M. Mehta MD, Gary Szydlowski MD, Ronald Freudenberger MD
Lehigh Valley Health Network, Allentown, Pennsylvania

Disclosures: None.

Introduction

- Approximately 2.2 % of patients undergoing cardiac surgery require permanent pacemaker implantation (PPMI) postoperatively. Patients who undergo valve surgery have higher incidence of PPMI (3-8%) as compared to the patients who undergo coronary artery bypass grafting (<1%).
- Identifying the patients who are at the high risk for post-operative PPMI is important to reduce the morbidity related to the post-operative conduction abnormalities and reducing the length of hospital stay.
- Several predictors of post-operative PPM implantations have been studied and observed. A risk score proposed by Koplan, et al. accounted for preoperative conduction, age, prior valve surgery, and surgery type. However, reliability of risk factors for PPM implantation have been inconsistent across various studies.
- Our research sought to determine the predictors which accounted for the increased risk of PPMI in valve replacement surgery.

Objectives

To identify the incidence and predictors of permanent pacemaker implantation in patients who undergo valve surgery.

Methods

- We performed a retrospective chart review of 197 consecutive patients who underwent valve replacement surgery at Lehigh Valley Health Network, an 880 bed academic community hospital in Allentown, PA up until June 2010.
- Inclusion criteria consisted of 197 consecutive valve replacement surgery patients, who received PPM within 30 days post valve surgery. Nineteen patients had PPMI. The 178 remaining patients served as controls.
- Risk factors, predictors and clinical outcomes were recorded for each patient. A statistical analysis was performed using chi-square, fisher's exact test, t-test, and logistic regression.
- Reasons for exclusion included patients with an indication for pacemaker implantation before the valve surgery, patients who died within 1 week of surgery and patients who underwent postoperative ICD implantation who did not have indication for the permanent pacing (i.e. Newer-generation ICDs are also equipped with a demand pacing system and are a combination of an ICD and a pacemaker – so they do not have true pacemaker indication).

Table 1. Demographic Characteristics			
Variables	No Pacemaker (n=178)	PPMI (n=19)	p-value
Female Gender	74 (41.6%)	7 (36.8%)	.690*
Smoking History	64 (36.0)	3 (15.8)	.124*
Coronary Artery Disease	80 (44.9)	6 (31.6)	.264*
Diabetes Mellitus	45 (25.3)	6 (31.6)	.585†
H/O of CVA	20 (11.2)	0	.227†
Hypertension	136 (76.4)	15 (78.9)	1.0†
Hyperlipidemia	112 (62.9)	7 (36.8)	.027*

* Chi-Square test
† Fisher's Exact test

Table 2. Important Predictive Variables			
Variables	No Pacemaker (n=178)	PPMI (n=19)	p-value
Beta Blocker	81 (45.5)	6 (31.6)	.245*
Ca Blocker	40 (22.5)	4 (21.1)	1.0†
Dig	9 (5.1)	2 (10.5)	.287 †
Antiarrhythmic	8 (4.5)	1 (5.3)	1.0†
Infective Endocarditis	8 (4.5)	0	1.0†
Redo Surgery	9 (5.1)	1 (5.3)	1.0†
Valve Repair	16 (9.0)	4 (21.1)	.109†
Valve + CABG	63 (35.4)	6 (31.6)	.740†
Valve + Aorta	26 (14.6)	2 (10.5)	1.0†
Re-Op same Hosp	9 (5.1)	0	.604†
RBBB - PRE	18 (10.2) n=177	7 (36.8)	.004†
LBBB - PRE	12 (6.8) n=177	2 (10.5)	.631†
Fascicular Block - PRE	11 (6.2) n=177	1 (5.3)	1.0†
IntraV Conduct Delay PRE	10 (5.6) n=177	0	.602†
Leaflet Calcification	73 (42.0) n=174	10 (52.6)	.372*
Emergent Procedure	3 (1.7)	1 (5.3)	1 (5.3)
Elective Procedure	174 (97.8)	18 (94.7)	.401†

* Chi-Square test
† Fisher's Exact test

Table 3. Clinical Outcomes			
	No Pacemaker (n=178)	PPMI (n=19)	p-value
Periop MI	0	0	--
Periop Stroke	8 (4.5)	0	1.0†
Periop Death	3 (1.7)	0	1.0†

† Fisher's Exact test

Results

- Baseline Demographics including age, sex, CAD, DM and HTN were similar between the two groups (Table 1). 9.6% of Post valvular surgery patients underwent PPMI. Incidence of PPMI for aortic, mitral and multivalvular surgery was 8.3%, 17.6% and 15.4% respectively.
- Mean surgery to PPMI duration was 5.4 days.
- Presence of pre-operative right bundle branch block significantly increased the incidence of PPMI (P=.004). Being on rate control and anti-arrhythmic medications, other conduction abnormalities, degree of valvular stenosis and regurgitation, leaflet calcification, endocarditis, surgery type and prior valve surgery did not significantly increase the incidence of PPMI. (Table 2)
- Indications for PPMI were complete heart block (26.3%), persistent junctional rhythm (21.1%), sinus node dysfunction (31.6%) and atrial fibrillation with slow ventricular response (5.3%).
- Cardiac outcomes such as peri-operative MI, death and stroke were not significantly different between the two groups. (Table 3)

Conclusions

- Patient going for valvular surgery are at an increased risk for developing post-operative conduction system abnormalities requiring permanent pacing. Multiple valvular and mitral valve surgeries pose the greatest risk.
- Pre-operative conduction disease, specifically the presence of right bundle branch block, was associated with an increased risk of need for PPMI.
- Identifying the patients who are at the high risk for post-operative PPMI may be helpful in reducing the morbidity related to the post-operative conduction abnormalities and reducing the length of hospital stay. A larger study is needed to determine significance for other variables.

References:

- Koplan BA, Stevenson WG, Epstein LM, Aranki SF, Maisel WH. Development and validation of a simple risk score to predict the need for permanent pacing after cardiac valve surgery. J Am Coll Cardiol. 2003 Mar 5;41(5):795-801.
- Dawkins S, Hobson AR, Kalra PR, Tang AT, Monroe JL, Dawkins KD. Permanent pacemaker implantation after isolated aortic valve replacement: incidence, indications, and predictors. Ann Thorac Surg. 2008 Jan;85(1):108-12.
- Elahi MM, Lee D, Dhannapuneni RR. Predictors of permanent pacemaker implantation during the early postoperative period after valve surgery. Tex Heart Inst J. 2006;33(4):455-7.
- Huynh H, Dalloul G, Ghanbari H, Burke P, David M, Daccarett M, Machado C, David S. Permanent pacemaker implantation following aortic valve replacement: current prevalence and clinical predictors. Pacing Clin Electrophysiol. 2009 Dec;32(12):1520-5. Epub 2009 Oct 5.

© 2014 Lehigh Valley Health Network