

Opinions of Academic EM Society Members on the New ACGME Common Program Requirements

Shawn M. Quinn DO

Lehigh Valley Health Network, Shawn_M.Quinn@lvhn.org

Bryan G. Kane MD

Lehigh Valley Health Network, bryan.kane@lvhn.org

Marna R. Greenberg DO, MPH, FACEP

Lehigh Valley Health Network, marna.greenberg@lvhn.org

Terrence E. Goyke

Lehigh Valley Health Network, Terrence_E.Goyke@lvhn.org

Steven Bird MD

See next page for additional authors

Follow this and additional works at: <https://scholarlyworks.lvhn.org/emergency-medicine>



Part of the [Emergency Medicine Commons](#)

Let us know how access to this document benefits you

Published In/Presented At

Quinn, S. M., Kane, B. G., Greenberg, M. R., Goyke, T. E., Bird, S. Schagrin, M. Barr, G. C. (2019, March 31). *Opinions of Academic EM Society Members on the New ACGME Common Program Requirements*. Poster Presented at: (CORD) Council of Emergency Medicine Residency Directors Annual Academic Assembly, Seattle, WA.

This Poster is brought to you for free and open access by LVHN Scholarly Works. It has been accepted for inclusion in LVHN Scholarly Works by an authorized administrator. For more information, please contact LibraryServices@lvhn.org.

Authors

Shawn M. Quinn DO; Bryan G. Kane MD; Marna R. Greenberg DO, MPH, FACEP; Terrence E. Goyke; Steven Bird MD; Megan Schagrin MBA; and Gavin C. Barr Jr. MD

Opinions of Academic EM Society Members on the New ACGME Common Program Requirements

Shawn M. Quinn, DO,¹ Bryan G. Kane, MD,¹ Marna Rayl Greenberg, DO, MPH,¹ Terrence E. Goyke, DO,¹ Steven Bird, MD,^{2,3} Megan Schagrin, MBA,³ Gavin C. Barr Jr., MD¹

¹Department of Emergency and Hospital Medicine, Lehigh Valley Health Network/University of South Florida-Morsani College of Medicine, Lehigh Valley Campus, Allentown, PA

²University of Massachusetts Medical School, Worcester, MA, ³Society for Academic Emergency Medicine, Des Plaines, IL

INTRODUCTION

The EM Residency Review Committee (RRC) functions under the direction of the ACGME Common Program Requirements. Recently, the ACGME updated these common requirements. These changes may limit the EM RRC's ability to continue to define protected time for EM core faculty. Without the EM RRC's definition, this protected time could be at risk. This study sought the opinions of members of an academic EM society regarding the impact of the loss of faculty protected time.

METHODS

After approval by the board of SAEM, a four-question survey was sent electronically to all members. The survey was iteratively developed with input by the board of SAEM utilizing a similar survey sent to membership of Council of Residency Directors. A 1 (no impact) to 10 (maximal negative impact) Likert scale was used. Results were analyzed descriptively.

RESULTS

Eight hundred and sixty-five SAEM members responded. This represents a 33.7% (865/2,567) response rate. All surveys were completed fully. If the ACGME were to eliminate protected time for core faculty, the estimated negative impact on job satisfaction was 8.76. The negative impact of the loss of protected time on personal well-being was 8.62. The likelihood of members changing, in a meaningful way, their current academic activities was 7.28. The negative impact of the loss of protected time on respondent's ability to perform current academic duties for the residency was 8.86.

CONCLUSIONS

Academic EM society members anticipate that a loss of faculty protected time subsequent to ACGME Common Program Requirement changes will have a markedly negative impact. The greatest negative anticipated impact for the respondents was concern about the ability to complete currently assigned tasks for the residency. Nearly equally negative were their perceptions of impact on their job satisfaction and personal well-being. A surprisingly robust negative response indicated that the anticipated loss of protected time was likely to cause members to change their current academic activities in a meaningful way.

