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Background & Significance

- Our oncology patients tend to have longer hospitalizations than typical medical-surgical patients. During this extended stay, their nutritional status is likely to change along with their acute issues. However, a nutrition assessment is not specifically repeated during a patient’s hospitalization.
- Initiating more frequent and comprehensive nutritional screenings will more accurately identify malnutrition, which will prompt needed Nutrition consults. An oncology patient’s nutritional status is key not only in their acute illness while hospitalized but to their overall cancer treatment.
- Cancer-related malnutrition can lead to:
  - Increased infection rate
  - Increased risk of postoperative complications
  - Reduced tolerance/response to treatment
  - Reduced performance status
- Which all leads to a decreased quality of life

Purpose

- Within the inpatient oncology patient population, how does more frequent and comprehensive nutritional assessments compare to the current nutritional screening on admission to identify malnutrition?

Evidence

- Most validated and reliable for use in oncology patients: PG-SGA and MST
- Best nutrition assessment tools for inpatient oncology: PG-SGA - Can only be administered by a Registered Dietician
- MSTC – high sensitivity and specificity, but compliance issue
- Other tools available, but sensitivity and specificity issues
- No evidence available about the frequency of nutrition screenings

Outcome & Results

- The majority of patients had one or more indicators, requiring a nutrition consult
- On 7C, a large number of patients who had a negative screen on admission had a positive screen upon reassessment
- Patients’ nutrition status should be evaluated every shift for effective care and treatment.

Next Steps & Dissemination

- Modification to the Practice Guidelines
  - Adding our triggers into the “Nutrition Risk Screen” in EPIC
  - Incorporating the Nutrition Risk Screen into the “Required Documentation”
  - EPIC automatically prompts a Nutrition consult with positive screen
- Network Implementation
  - Teaming with unit PCC and PCSs to create proposal
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