Lehigh Valley Health Network LVHN Scholarly Works

Department of Surgery

#### Robotic Sublobar Resection for the Surgical Management of Isolated Pulmonary Nodules

Victor S. Reis MD Lehigh Valley Health Network, Victor.Reis@lvhn.org

Kyle M. Langston PA-C Lehigh Valley Health Network, Kyle\_M.Langston@lvhn.org

Alec Talsania Lehigh Valley Health Network, Alec.Talsania@lvhn.org

Alexander Werner St. Joseph University

Michael F. Szwerc MD Lehigh Valley Health Network, Michael\_F.Szwerc@lvhn.org

See next page for additional authors

Follow this and additional works at: https://scholarlyworks.lvhn.org/surgery

Part of the Other Medical Specialties Commons, and the Surgery Commons Let us know how access to this document benefits you

#### Published In/Presented At

Reis, V.S., Langston, K.M., Talsania, A., Werner, A., Szwerc, M.F., Misselbeck, T.S., & Perry, M. (2015, May 22). *Robotic Sublobar Resection for the Surgical Management of Isolated Pulmonary Nodules.* Presentation presented at Schaeffer Research Day, Lehigh Valley Health Network, Allentown, PA.

This Presentation is brought to you for free and open access by LVHN Scholarly Works. It has been accepted for inclusion in LVHN Scholarly Works by an authorized administrator. For more information, please contact LibraryServices@lvhn.org.

#### Authors

Victor S. Reis MD, Kyle M. Langston PA-C, Alec Talsania, Alexander Werner, Michael F. Szwerc MD, Timothy S. Misselbeck, and Mark E. Perry MD

This presentation is available at LVHN Scholarly Works: https://scholarlyworks.lvhn.org/surgery/709

### **Robotic Sublobar Resection for the Surgical Management of Isolated Pulmonary Nodules**

Victor S. Reis MD, Kyle M. Langston PA-C, Alec Talsania, Alex Werner, Michael F. Szwerc MD, Timothy S. Misselbeck MD, Mark E. Perry MD

Lehigh Valley Hospital; Allentown, PA

© 2015 Lehigh Valley Health Network

A PASSION FOR BETTER MEDICINE.<sup>TM</sup> 610

610-402-CARE LVHN.org



#### **Disclosures**

#### None

Lung Cancer – 2015 United States Estimates

- 221,200 cases (115,610 Male and 105,590 Female)
- More deaths than next four most common cancers combined
  - 158,040 deaths total
  - 86,380 Male
  - 71,660 Female
- 13% of all cancer diagnoses
- From 2007 to 2011 lung cancer incidence rates decreased by 3.0% per year in men and 2.2% in women
- Overall Survival Rates
  - One year: 44%
  - Five Year: 17%
- Early stage Lung cancer (Stage I and II):
  - Percentage of total diagnoses: 14%
  - Percentage of five-year survival: 54%
- Source: Cancer Facts & Figures 2015

- The surgical management of benign and malignant lung lesions is an evolving field
- Techniques for anatomic pulmonary resection:
  - Rib-Sparing Thoracotomy (Current standard of care)
  - Video-Assisted Thoracoscopic Surgery
  - Robotic-Assisted Lung Resection

- Video Assisted Thoracoscopic Surgery (VATS) paralleled laparoscopy offering a minimally invasive alternative to thoracotomy
  - Similar mortality rates and long term survival rates with:
    - Less morbidity
    - Less postoperative pain
    - Faster return to work compared to thoracotomy
  - Limitations of VATS
    - Lack of depth perception
    - Rigid instruments
    - High learning curve

 Robotic surgery may offer a minimally invasive technique that is safe, efficacious and easier to learn than VATS

- Less post-operative pain
- Shorter hospital length of stay
- Better early post-operative quality of life scores
- This study assesses the efficacy and perioperative outcomes of robotic-assisted pulmonary sublobar resection.

#### **Methods**

- Retrospective chart review at LVHN from October 2011 to June 2014
- All anatomic lung resections evaluated
  - Excluded
    - **Open or VATS cases**
    - Lobectomies, sleeve lobectomies, pneumonectomies, wedge resections
- 626 lung resections performed
  - 186 robotic pulmonary resections performed
  - 36 robotic segmentectomies
- Perioperative outcomes evaluated:
  - Length of stay
  - Operating room time
  - Estimated blood loss
  - Number of lymph nodes
  - Surgical margins

- **30-Day Mortality Conversion rate** 
  - Hospital based complications
- Tumor size
- Recurrence
- Robotic segmentectomies that required conversions were excluded from further analysis.

#### Methods

 All cases were performed by a single surgeon using the Da Vinci Robotic Surgical platform



#### Results

	Mean	Range
Age	65.4	41 – 83
BMI	27.9	17.9 – 42.3
Operative time	121 min	49 – 315 min
Estimated blood loss	51.9 mL	20 – 150 mL
Tumor size	1.57 cm	0.7 – 3 cm
Lymph Node Stations	3.32	0 - 7
Lymph Nodes	4.85	0 - 10

#### **Results**

Outcome	Number	Percentage
Positive margins	0	0%
Recurrence	1	2.94%
Readmission rate	4	11.76%
30-day mortality	0	0%
Conversion Rate*	2	5.56%
Median length of stay	2 days	Range 1-17

\*Excluded from overall complication rates

#### Results

Hospital-based Complication	Number	Percentage
Air leak (>5 days)	4	11.76%
Pleural effusion	2	5.88%
Recurrent pneumothorax	1	2.94%
Atrial fibrillation	1	2.94%
Acute kidney injury	1	2.94%
Acute blood loss anemia	1	2.94%
Discharged with chest tube	7	20.59%

#### Discussion

 Approximately 20-30% of anatomic lung resections are performed using VATS

 Technical difficulty of VATS may be barrier to minimally invasive chest surgery

#### Discussion

- Increased identification of solitary pulmonary nodules
- Controversy regarding sublobar resection of early stage NSCLC
  - Adequate lymph node sampling
  - Preserves lung volume and function
  - Controversy: equivalent oncologic outcomes as a traditional lobectomy

#### Discussion

#### Potential Benefits of Robotic Surgery

- High definition 3-D camera
- Wristed instruments with 7 degrees of freedom
- Dampening of tremor
- Ability to control camera and up to 3 instruments simultaneously
- Shorter learning curve compared to VATS

#### Conclusions

- This study demonstrates the feasibility, safety, and efficacy of robotic-assisted pulmonary sublobar resection for the treatment of isolated lung tumors.
- Further areas of research should focus on comparisons to VATS procedures, cost-benefit analysis and oncologic efficacy.
  - Longer follow-up period is needed to assess long term oncologic efficacy
  - Cost analysis should be performed to evaluate if the higher price of the robotic systems outweigh the possibly decreased OR time and hospital stay.

#### References

- Pardolesi, A. MD, Park, B. MD, Petrella, F. MD, Borri, A. MD, Gasparri, R. MD & Veronesi, G. MD. Robotic anatomic segmentectomy of the lung: Technical aspects and initial results. Ann Thoracic Surgery. 2012; 94:929-34.
- Paoletti, L. MD, Pastis, N.J. MD, Denlinger, C.E. MD, & Silvestri, G.A. MD. A decade of advances in treatment of early-stage lung cancer. Clin Chest Med. 2011; 32:827-38
- Yang, C.J. MD, & D'Amico, T.A. MD. Thorascopic segmentectomy for lung cancer. Ann Thoracic Surgery. 2012; 94:668-81
- Leshnower, B.G. MD, Miller, D.L. MD, Fernandez, F.G. MD, Pickens, A. MD, &Force, S.D. MD. Video-assisted thoracoscopic surgery segmentectomy: A safe and effective procedure. Ann Thoracic Surgery. 2010; 89:1571-6.
- Schuchert, M.J. MD, Abbas, G. MD, Awais, O. MD, Pennathur, A. MD, Nason, K.S. MD, MPH, Wilson, D.O. MD, Siegfried, J.M. PhD, Luketich, J.D. MD, & Landreneau, R.J. MD. Anatomic segmentectomy for the solitary pulmonary nodule and early-stage lung cancer. Ann Thoracic Surgery. 2012; 93:1780-7.
- Atkins, B.Z. MD, Harpole, D.H. Jr, MD, Mangum, J.H. BSN, Toloza, E.M. MD, PhD, D'Amico, T.A. MD, & Burfeind, W.R. Jr, MD. Pulmonary segmentectomy by thoracotomy or thoracoscopy: Reduced hospital length of stay with a minimally-invasice approach. Ann Thoracic Surgery 2007; 84:1107-13.
- Witte, B., Wolf, M., Hillebrand, H. & Huertgen, M. Complete video-assisted thorascoscopic surgery anatomic segmentectomy for clinical stage I lung carcinoma- technique and feasibility. Interactive CarbioVascular and Thoracic Surgery. 2011; 13:148-52.
- Schuchert, M.J. MD, Pettiford, B.L. MD, Pennathur, A. MD, Abbas, G. MD, Awais, O. DO, Close, J. MA, Kilic, A. BS, Jack, R., Landreneau, J.R., Landreneaua, J.P., Wilson, D.O. MD, Luketich, J.D. MD, & Landreneau, R.J. MD. Anatomic segmentectomy for stage I non-small-cell lung cancer: Comparison of video-assisted thoracic surgery versus open approach. The Journal of Thoracic and Cardiovascular Surgery. 2009; 138:1318-25.
- Gossot D, Zaimi R, Fournel L, Grigoriou M, Brian E, & Neveu C. Totally thoracoscopic pulomonary anatomic segmentectomies: technical considerations. J Thorac Dis 2013;5(S3):S200-S206.
- Gossot D, Ramos R, Brian E, Christine R, Girrard P, & Strauss C. A totally thoracoscopic approach for pulomary anatomic segmentectomies. Interactive CardioVascular and Thoracic Surgery. 2011; 12:529-33.
- Traibi A, Grigoroiu M, Boulitrop C, Urena A, Masuet-Aumatell C, Brian E, Stern J, Zaimi R, & Gossot D. Predictive factors for complications of anatomical pulomonary segmentectomies. Interactive CardioVascular and Thoracic Surgery. 2013; 17:838-44.
- Fortes, D. L. MD, Tomaszek, S.C. MD, & Wigle, D.A. MD, PhD. Early experience with robotic-assisted lung resection. International Society for Minimally Invasive Cardiothoracic Surgery. 2011; 6:237-42.
- Pardolesi, A. MD & Veronesi, G. MD. Robot-assisted lung anatomic segmentectomy: Technial aspects. Thoracic Surgery Clinic. 2004; 24:163-168.
- Veronesi, G. Robotic lobectomy and segmentectomy for lung cance: results and operating technique. Journal of Thoracic disease. 2015; ;7 (S2) S122-130.
- Cao, C., Gupta, S., Chandrakumar, D. Tian, D., Black, D., Yan, T. Meta-analysis of intentional sublobar resections versus lobectomy for early stage nonsmall cell lung cancer. Annals of Cardiothoracic Surgery. 2014; 3 (2): 134-141.
- Bach, P., Mirkin, J., Oliver, T., et al. Benefits and harms o fCT screening for lung cancer; A systematic Review. Journal of the American Medical Association. 2012; 307: 2418-2429.

# **Questions?**



A PASSION FOR BETTER MEDICINE."

