Lehigh Valley Health Network

Patient Care Services / Nursing

A "Standardized Patient" to Validate the Competency of SANEs

Amanda Howatt BSN, RN, CEN Lehigh Valley Health Network, Amanda_R.Howatt@lvhn.org

Devin Kennedy MSN, RN, CEN Lehigh Valley Health Network, Devin_H.Kennedy@lvhn.org

Sandra Sabbatini MSN, RN-BC, CEN Lehigh Valley Health Network, Sandra_J.Sabbatini@lvhn.org

Michele Rice BSN, RN, CEN Lehigh Valley Health Network, Michele.Rice@lvhn.org

Follow this and additional works at: https://scholarlyworks.lvhn.org/patient-care-services-nursing

Part of the Nursing Commons Let us know how access to this document benefits you

Published In/Presented At

Howatt, A. Kennedy, D. Sabbatini, S. Rice, M. (2017, September). *A "Standardized Patient" to Validate the Competency of SANEs*. Poster Presented at: Emergency Nurses Association Annual Meeting, St. Louis, Missouri.

This Poster is brought to you for free and open access by LVHN Scholarly Works. It has been accepted for inclusion in LVHN Scholarly Works by an authorized administrator. For more information, please contact LibraryServices@lvhn.org.

A "Standardized Patient" to Validate the Competency of SANEs

Amanda R. Howatt, BSN, RN, CEN, Devin H. Kennedy, MSN, RN, CEN, Sandra J Sabbatini, MSN, RN-BC, CEN, and Michele Rice, BSN, RN, CEN - Emergency Department Lehigh Valley Health Network, Allentown, PA

Standardized Patient (SP): someone who has been trained to reliably and consistently portray a patient with a health care problem, in this case, sexual assault.

LEARNER OBJECTIVES

Acquire knowledge to:

- Design a competency-based orientation for the novice sexual assault nurse examiner (SANE)
- Utilize a SP for simulation
- Develop a performance-based validation tool

PURPOSE

Gain an increased number of competent SANEs.

DESIGN/SETTING/SAMPLE

Design: Staff development quality improvement project

Setting: Academic, community Magnet[®] organization with three emergency departments, Level 1 trauma center and two community hospitals

Sample: Registered nurses who desired to become competent SANEs

References:

- 1. Fitzpatrick, M., Ta, A., Lenchus, J., Arheart, K., Forman Rosen, L., Bimbach, D. (2012). Sexual Assault Forensic Examiners' Training and Assessment Using Simulation Technology, Journal of Emergency Nursing, 38 (1), 85-90e6
- 2. International Association of Forensic Nurses (2013). Sexual Assault Nurse Examiner Education Guidelines. Retrieved from w.forensicnurses.org/?page=2013EdGuidelines&hhSearchTerms=%22Educational+and+Guidelines%22
- 3. Simonian, L., & Shane, D. (2013, June 7). Use of Simulation in SANE Competency and Training. Lecture presented at ENA Horizons Conference 2013, Nittany Lion Inn, 200 West Park Avenue, State College, PA.

BACKGROUND

Problem: Struggle to achieve orientation and demonstrate competency of SANEs, related to:

- Limited dollars for learning resources (live and self-learning)
- Challenges related to attaining real-time clinical learning opportunities and demonstrating skills

Consequence: Sexual assault exams performed by physicians instead of specialty trained SANE nurses

ACTIONS

- Grant funding obtained for education Enhanced classroom
 - and electronic self study
- Literature review and oral conference presentation suggested simulation
- Use of SP within simulation, except mannequin for pelvic physical exam

	Lehigh Valley Health Network		
Sexual Assault Adult Examination PERFORMANCE CHECKLIST			
rinted Name		Unit:	
	met all performance criteria (critical behaviors) ide	entified below	
as of, validated by	y		
Date	Signature	Printed N	lame
I have been validated as noted abor	ve: Employee Signature	on	
The named health care provider has met" box. Refer to action pl	and met the performance criteria (critical behavior an.	s) identified below wit	h a checkmark (v) in "h
Date	Signature of Evaluator	Printed Name	
CRITICAL BEHAVIORS		NOT MET	COMMENTS
1.Consults with Primary RN for b	ackground information including PMH/ Alle	rgies	
 Verifies that Crime Victims Co or declined by patient 	suncil Patient Advocate was offered and is in a	oute	
	uncil Patient Advocate was offered and is in a	oute	
or declined by patient 3. Demonstrates hand washing	suncil Patient Advocate was offered and is in a atient in empathetic non-judgmental manner.	oute	
or declined by patient 3. Demonstrates hand washing	atient in empathetic non-judgmental manner.	oute	
or declined by patient 3. Demonstrates hand washing 4. Demonstrates greeting of the p 5. Discusses examination with pat 6. Explains Police involvement at	atient in empathetic non-judgmental manner.		
or declined by patient 3. Demonstrates hand washing 4. Demonstrates greeting of the p 5. Discusses examination with patient 6. Explains Police involvement at to report the crime. 7. Verifies the location of the asse	atient in empathetic non-judgmental manner. tient and explains consent form.	need	
or declined by patient 3. Demonstrates hand washing 4. Demonstrates greeting of the ps 5. Discusses examination with patient 6. Explains Police involvement at to report the crime. 7. Verifies the location of the assess assumt occurred a long distance a delay your time)	atient in empathetic non-judgmental manner. tient and explains consent form. ad option of anonymity. Informs patient of the ult and notify police if not completed. (If the	need	
or declined by patient 3. Demonstrates hand washing 4. Demonstrates greeting of the p 5. Discusses examination with pu 6. Explains Police involvement an to report the crime. 7. Verifies the location of the assistance a delay your time. 8. Verifies the difference between 8. Verifies the difference between	atient in empathetic non-judgmental manner. tient and explains consent form. ad option of anonymity. Informs patient of the sult and notify police if not completed. (If the way, then consider calling and reporting as no	need to be a construction of the construction	
or declined by patient 3. Demonstrates hand washing 4. Demonstrates greeting of the p 5. Discusses examination with pu 6. Explains Police involvement an to report the crime. 7. Verifies the location of the assistance a delay your time. 8. Verifies the difference between 8. Verifies the difference between	atient in empathetic non-judgmental manner, tient and explains consent form. ad option of anonymity. Informs patient of the limit and nonfy police if not completed (If the way, then consider calling and reporting as no elinical sobriety vs. clinical intexcication.	need to be a construction of the construction	
or declined by patient 3 Demonstrates hand washing 4 Demonstrates greening of the po- 5 Discusses examination with pat- 6 Explants Police unoversente at to report the erime. 7. Verificts the location of the ass- deday your time) 8. Verificts the difference between 9. Demonstrates pain assessment 10. Obtains Coesent	atient in empathetic non-judgmental manner, tient and explains consent form. ad option of anonymity. Informs patient of the limit and nonfy police if not completed (If the way, then consider calling and reporting as no elinical sobriety vs. clinical intexcication.	need to be a construction of the construction	

OUTCOMES

- 1 number of SANEs 15 to 24 over 3 years
- \downarrow in exams by physicians
- \downarrow length of clinical competency validations from 12-24 months to 4-12 months

IMPLICATIONS

Simulation with an SP can be utilized as a learning opportunity and demonstration of competency for a wide variety of clinical skills.



© 2017 Lehigh Valley Health Network



610-402-CARE LVHN.org

