Lehigh Valley Health Network

LVHN Scholarly Works

Department of Medicine

Examining Patient Demographics Within a Physical Therapy (PT)-Driven Proning Protocol During COVID-19 Pandemic

Christina Wood

Lehigh Valley Health Network, christina.wood@lvhn.org

Sidney M. Stoddard PT, DPT Lehigh Valley College, sidney.stoddard@lvhn.org

Daniel Sawyer PT, DPT Lehigh Valley Health Network, Daniel.Sawyer@lvhn.net

Michael Pechulis DPT

Lehigh Valley Health Network, michael.pechulis@lvhn.org

Julie M. Skrzat PT DPT PhD CCS

Lehigh Valley Health Network, Julie.Skrzat@lvhn.org

See next page for additional authors

Follow this and additional works at: https://scholarlyworks.lvhn.org/medicine



Let us know how access to this document benefits you

Published In/Presented At

Wood, C., Stoddard, S.M., Sawyer, D., Pechulis, M., Skrzat, J., Fuse, M., Fox, A., Wetzler, E., Loose, M., Vetter, R., Musco, K., Lenivy, C., & Duka, S. (2022). *Examining patient demographics within a physical therapy* (*PT*)-driven proning protocol during COVID-19 pandemic. Poster presented at Lehigh Valley Health Network, Allentown, PA.

This Poster is brought to you for free and open access by LVHN Scholarly Works. It has been accepted for inclusion in LVHN Scholarly Works by an authorized administrator. For more information, please contact LibraryServices@lvhn.org.

Authors Christina Wood; Sidney M. Stoddard PT, DPT; Daniel Sawyer PT, DPT; Michael Pechulis DPT; Julie M. Skrzat PT DPT PhD CCS; Mark Fuse; Amanda Fox; Elizabeth A. Wetzler; Mary Loose PT; Ryan Vetter MS-OTR/L; Kaitlyn Musco MD; Christopher Lenivy DO; and Shae Duka BS					

Examining Patient Demographics Within a Physical Therapy (PT)-Driven Proning Protocol During COVID-19 Pandemic

Christina Wood, PT, DPT, NCS, Sidney M. Stoddard, PT, DPT, Daniel Sawyer, PT, DPT, Michael Pechulis, PT, DPT, Julie Skrzat, PT, DPT, PhD, CCS, Mark Fuse, PT, DPT, Amanda Fox, PT, DPT, Elizabeth Wetzler, PT, Mary Loose, PT, Ryan Vetter, MS, OTR/L, Kaitlyn Musco, MD, Christopher Lenivy, DO, Shae Duka, MPH

Lehigh Valley Health Network, Allentown, Pa.

Purpose and Hypothesis

- The purpose of this study to examine patient demographics within a PT-driven proning protocol on patients admitted with COVID-19.
- We hypothesized there is no difference in patient ethnic composition/demographics between prone positioning groups in relationship to ICU admissions

Number of Subjects

- 110 subjects were included in this study from the original 205 charts reviewed
- Inclusion criteria: >18 years old, PT consult, admitted to the medical-surgical ward at Lehigh Valley Health Network (LVHN) between 3/1/2020 − 6/30/2020, (+) COVID-19 test via nasal swab, receiving supplemental oxygen, definitive discharge location
- Exclusion criteria: Children, pregnant women, prisoners

Initial data collection, n=205

64 patients did not have a positive COVID nasal swab, n=141

18 patients did not have a PT evaluation n=123

5 patients were intubated prior to the study period, n=118

8 patients were on the unit, n=110

Materials and Methods

- A retrospective chart review was conducted of patients admitted to hospital diagnosed with COVID-19 who received a PT Prone Positioning evaluation outside of the intensive care unit (ICU) between 3/1/2020-5/31/2020.
- Patient demographics, hospital course information and Prone Positioning Group were collected
- Patients were categorized into one of three groups based on their ability to achieve the prone position during the PT session:
- Independent (proning independently)
- Assistance (need assist to proning)
- Special Considerations (unable to achieve a proning position)

INDEPENDENT

- Patient able to achieve and maintain prone position without assistance
- Plan of Care: Patient Education, Therapeutic Exercise, Progressive Mobility Training if needed

ASSISTANCE

- Patient able to achieve and maintain prone position with assistance
- Plan of Care: Remediation of Mobility Deficits, Patient Education, Therapeutic Exercise, Rom Exercise Program, Progressive Mobility Training

SPECIAL CONSIDERATIONS

- Patient unable to achieve and/or maintain prone position despite assistance. Patient may have achieved alternative positioning in 1/4 side lying, side lying, or 1/4 prone.
- Plan of Care: Remediation of Mobility Deficits, Patient Education, Therapeutic Exercise, Rom Exercise Program, Progressive Mobility Training

Results

- Patient demographics and prone positioning group assessed, there was a statistically significant difference in median age between groups (p<0.0001):
- Independent group (n=50) were younger, with a median age of 49 (IQR:41.0-66.0)
- Assistance group (n=21) with a median age of 72 (IQR:61.0-83.0)
- Special Considerations group (n=39) with a median age of 79 (IQR:67.0-86.0).
- There was also a statistically significant difference in median BMI between groups (p=0.0398):
- Assistance group had lower median BMI of 25.3 (IQR:21.9-32.0)
- Independent group median BMI of 31.2 (IQR:26.4-35.5)
- Special Considerations group median BMI 29.5 (IQR:25.0-33.4)
- In addition, there was a significant association between patient ethnicity and the prone positioning groups (p=0.0070).
- In a post hoc analysis, subjects were classified into two categories based on their ability to obtain the prone position:
- Independent (n=50) subgroup
- Non-Independent (n=60) subgroups
- There was no significant association between ethnicity and ICU admission:
- Independent group (n=10,majority Hispanic heritage,20% ICU transfers 10/50)
- Non-Independent group (n=18,majority white,30% ICU transfers 18/60)
- Associations between ICU transfers and death of patients with COVID-19 based on their ability to achieve the prone position is summarized in another poster presentation.

Conclusion

- Significant differences were found between ethnicity and prone positioning groups.
- Significant differences were also found for age and BMI between prone positioning groups.
- There were no significant ethnic composition/demographic differences found with those subjects admitted into ICU between patients who were and were not able to independently obtain the prone position

REFERENCES

- 1. Chiu M, Goldberg A, Moses S, Scala P, Fine C, Ryan P. Developing and Implementing a Dedicated Prone Positioning Team for Mechanically Ventilated ARDS Patients During the COVID-19 Crisis. Jt Comm J Qual Patient Saf. 2021;47(6):347-353. doi:10.1016/j.jcjq.2021.02.007
- 2. Elharrar X, Trigui Y, Dols A, et al. Use of Prone Positioning in Nonintubated Patients With COVID-19 and Hypoxemic Acute Respiratory Failure. JAMA. 2020;323(22):2336–2338. doi:10.1001/jama.2020.8255
- 3. Dubosh NM, Wong ML, Grossestreuer AV, et al. Early, awake proning in emergency department patients with COVID-19 [published online ahead of print, 2020 Dec 3]. Am J Emerg Med. 2020;S0735-6757(20)31105-0. doi:10.1016/j.ajem.2020.11.074
- 4. Ng JA, Miccile LA, Iracheta C, Berndt C, Detwiller M, Yuse C, Tolland J. Prone Positioning of Patients With Acute Respiratory Distress Syndrome Related to COVID-19: A Rehabilitation-Based Prone Team. Phys Ther. 2020 Sep 28;100(10):1737-1745. doi: 10.1093/ptj/pzaa124. PMID: 32691056; PMCID: PMC7454832.

Outcomes

Outcomics					
Total Sample (N=110)	Prone Positioning Group				
	Independent (N=50)	Assistance (N=21)	Special Considerations (N=39)	p-value	
66.0 (49.0-82.0)	49.0 (41.0-66.0)	72.0 (61.0-83.0)	79.0 (67.0-86.0)	<0.001ª	
O	O	O	O		
5 (4.6)	3 (6.0)	1 (4.8)	1 (2.6)		
6 (5.5)	4 (8.0)	1 (4.8)	1 (2.6)		
44 (40.0)	26 (52.0)	9 (42.9)	9 (23.1)	0.0070°	
0	0	0	0		
51 (46.4)	14 (28.0)	9 (42.9)	28 (71.8)		
4 (3.6)	3 (6.0)	1 (4.8)	O		
29.7 (25.0-33.6)	31.2 (26.4-35.5)	25.3 (21.9-32.0)	29.5 (25.0-33.4)	0.0398 ^a	
	Sample (N=110) 66.0 (49.0-82.0) 0 5 (4.6) 6 (5.5) 44 (40.0) 0 51 (46.4) 4 (3.6) 29.7	Sample (N=110) Independent (N=50) 66.0 (49.0-82.0) 49.0 (41.0-66.0) 0 0 5 (4.6) 3 (6.0) 6 (5.5) 4 (8.0) 44 (40.0) 26 (52.0) 0 0 51 (46.4) 14 (28.0) 4 (3.6) 3 (6.0) 29.7 31.2	Sample (N=110) Independent (N=50) Assistance (N=21) 66.0 (49.0-82.0) 49.0 (41.0-66.0) 72.0 (61.0-83.0) 0 0 0 (61.0-83.0) 5 (4.6) 3 (6.0) 1 (4.8) 1 (4.8) 6 (5.5) 4 (8.0) 1 (4.8) 9 (42.9) 0 0 0 0 0 51 (46.4) 14 (28.0) 9 (42.9) 9 (42.9) 4 (3.6) 3 (6.0) 1 (4.8) 29.7 31.2 25.3	Sample (N=110) Independent (N=50) Assistance (N=21) Special Considerations (N=39) 66.0 (49.0-82.0) 49.0 (41.0-66.0) 72.0 (61.0-83.0) 79.0 (67.0-86.0) 0 0 0 0 (67.0-86.0) 5 (4.6) 3 (6.0) 1 (4.8) 1 (2.6) 6 (5.5) 4 (8.0) 1 (4.8) 1 (2.6) 44 (40.0) 26 (52.0) 9 (42.9) 9 (23.1) 0 0 0 0 51 (46.4) 14 (28.0) 9 (42.9) 28 (71.8) 4 (3.6) 3 (6.0) 1 (4.8) 0 29.7 31.2 25.3 29.5	

Abbreviations: IQR=interquartile range. SD=standard deviation. BMI=Body Mass Index.

^aKruskal Wallis test ^cFisher's Exact test

Clinical Relevance

- The significant differences between prone positioning groups and patient demographics demonstrate the potential importance of culture and education within this PT-driven non-ICU proning protocol.
- With pandemic policies limiting interpretation services, communication was challenging and could limit a patient's ability to achieve prone.
- However, in post hoc analysis ethnicity did not have an association with ICU admission within prone subgroups.
- Future research can examine the potential effects of culture and ethnicity on clinical outcomes on a larger scale when programs such as a PT-driven Proning Protocol are implemented
- 5. Mathur R, Rentsch CT, Morton CE, Hulme WJ, Schultze A, MacKenna B, Eggo RM, Bhaskaran K, Wong AYS, Williamson EJ, Forbes H, Wing K, McDonald HI, Bates C, Bacon S, Walker AJ, Evans D, Inglesby P, Mehrkar A, Curtis HJ, DeVito NJ, Croker R, Drysdale H, Cockburn J, Parry J, Hester F, Harper S, Douglas IJ, Tomlinson L, Evans SJW, Grieve R, Harrison D, Rowan K, Khunti K, Chaturvedi N, Smeeth L, Goldacre B; OpenSAFELY Collaborative. Ethnic differences in SARS-CoV-2 infection and COVID-19-related hospitalisation, intensive care unit admission, and death in 17 million adults in England: an

observational cohort study using the OpenSAFELY platform. Lancet. 2021 May 8;397(10286):1711-1724. doi: 10.1016/S0140-6736(21)00634-6. Epub 2021 Apr 30. Erratum in: Lancet. 2021 May 6; PMID: 33939953; PMCID: PMC8087292.

