Lehigh Valley Health Network LVHN Scholarly Works

Department of Medicine

Case Report Describing Mobility Progression of a "Happy Hypoxic" COVID-19 Patient Requiring Prolonged Non-Invasive Fio2>60%

Courtney Stanlaw PT, DPT Lehigh Valley Health Network, courtney.stanlaw@lvhn.org

James K. Miller DeSales University

Follow this and additional works at: https://scholarlyworks.lvhn.org/medicine

Part of the Medicine and Health Sciences Commons Let us know how access to this document benefits you

Published In/Presented At

Stanlaw, C. & Miller, J.K. (2022). *Case report describing mobility progression of a "happy hypoxic" COVID-19 patient requiring prolonged non-invasive fio2>60%.* Poster presented at Lehigh Valley Health Network, Allentown, PA.

This Poster is brought to you for free and open access by LVHN Scholarly Works. It has been accepted for inclusion in LVHN Scholarly Works by an authorized administrator. For more information, please contact LibraryServices@lvhn.org.

Case Report Describing Mobility Progression of a "Happy Hypoxic" COVID-19 Patient Requiring Prolonged Non-Invasive Fio2>60%

Background/Purpose

- Since 2019, millions have been diagnosed with COVID-19. While health care professionals establish effective treatment measures for those hospitalized, distinct characteristics of the virus have been identified, leading to more specific treatment approaches.^{1,2}
- Patients labeled "happy hypoxic" demonstrate clinical markers of severe hypoxemia, require supplemental oxygen (O2) support, but deny symptoms of respiratory distress.^{1,2} • They can be considered clinically unstable from standard definitions (requiring fraction of inspired O2 (FiO2) greater than (>) 60%) for prolonged periods, leading to increased rates of hospital related weakness and mortality.³⁻⁶
- This case report details the role physical therapy (PT) plays in treating these patients by promoting early, progressive mobility to increase functional endurance to advance to the next level of care.⁶

Case Description and Examination

- 83-year-old known COVID-19 positive male with a history of hypertension, type 2 diabetes, and obesity presented to the hospital with shortness of breath (SOB) and low oxygen saturation (SpO2)
- Admitted and placed on 4 liters (L) of supplemental O2
- Chest radiographs demonstrated bilateral lower lobe infiltrates suggestive of COPD
- Patient was independent for functional mobility on room air at baseline
- On hospital day 6 the patient was evaluated by PT and now required 10L O2 via high flow nasal cannula
- PT noted intolerance to prone positioning secondary to positional discomfort - Patient required moderate assistance for bed mobility and transfers with a rolling walker (RW) and tolerated 5 feet of ambulation
- Gait was unsteady and SpO2 decreased to 83% with no reports of SOB
- PT reported functional endurance, activity tolerance, strength, and balance deficits

Radiographs





Hospital day O (4L O2 via NC)

Hospital day 19 (55L O2 at 100% FiO2)

Courtney Stanlaw PT, DPT and James K Miller SPT, CSCS

Lehigh Valley Health Network, Allentown, PA and Doctor of Physical Therapy Program, DeSales University, Center Valley, PA

Interventions

- 17 PT session over a 39-day admission with FiO2 requirements up to 55L O2/ 100% FiO2 during PT sessions
- 11 of the 12 PT sessions in the intensive care unit (ICU) on FiO2 >60% • The medical team supported progressive mobility despite high levels of noninvasive symptoms above mild SOB³⁻⁵





AM-PAC = Activity Measure for Post Acute Care; FSS-ICU = Functional Status Scale for the Intensive Care Unit; JHHLM = Johns Hopkins Highest Level of Mobility



support (FiO2 >60%) with termination of mobility following desaturations below 80% or

Outcomes

- stand, and 2-minute step test

| | First Collection | Final Collection | Age-Matched Norms ^{7,8} |
|----------------------|----------------------------|----------------------------|----------------------------------|
| AM-PAC | 12/24 | 18/24 | 24/24 |
| Handgrip strength | R= 38.4 lbs., L= 36.2 lbs. | R= 36.6 lbs., L= 40.8 lbs. | R= 70.6 lbs., L= 63.1 lbs. |
| 5 times sit to stand | 30 sec. | 25 sec. | 14.8 sec. |
| 2-minute step test | Not tolerated | Not tolerated | 80 steps |

Discussion

- admissions

REFERENCES

- s13054-014-0658-y
- Respir Care. 2016;61(7):971-979. doi:10.4187/respcare.04741
- with COVID-19:
- Accessed May 28, 2021.



• Outcome measures included the AM-PAC, FSS-ICU, handgrip strength, 5 times sit to

Regular desaturations to the low 80s despite denial of symptoms during activity - Ambulation progressed once he could comfortably tolerate bed to chair transfers • Discharged to acute rehab on 5L O2 via NC, required a RW and minimal assistance for mobility, and remained below age matched normal on all outcome measure^{7,8}

• Treatment of COVID-19 patients with persistent silent hypoxemia can be challenging, especially with oxygen requirements higher than 60% FiO2 and when patients are unable to determine clinical signs of hypoxemia

• This case demonstrates the importance of interprofessional collaboration to improve patient outcomes, progress functional endurance, and reduce hospital acquired weakness in patients with COVID-19 and the inability to prone

- Safe progression of mobility is possible with support from a multidisciplinary team and with close monitoring of vital signs

• Further exploration into the safety and efficacy of progressive mobility on FiO2 >60% may be beneficial in improving functional and perceived outcomes during prolonged

1. Gattinoni L, Chiumello D, Caironi P, et al. COVID-19 pneumonia: different respiratory treatments for different phenotypes?. Intensive Care Med. 2020; 46(6):1099-1102. doi:10.1007/s00134-020-06033-2

2. Dhont S, Derom E, Van Braeckel E, Depuydt P, Lambrecht BN. The pathophysiology of 'happy' hypoxemia in COVID-19. Respir Res. 2020;21(1):198. Published 2020 Jul 28. doi:10.1186/s12931-020-01462-5

3. Hodgson CL, Stiller K, Needham DM, et al. Expert consensus and recommendations on safety criteria for active mobilization of mechanically ventilated critically ill adults. Crit Care. 2014;18(6):658. Published 2014 Dec 4. doi:10.1186/

4. Hashem MD, Nelliot A, Needham DM. Early Mobilization and Rehabilitation in the ICU: Moving Back to the Future.

5. Sigler M, Nugent K, Alalawi R, et al. Making of a Successful Early Mobilization Program for a Medical Intensive Care Unit. South Med J. 2016;109(6):342-345. doi:10.14423/SMJ.000000000000472

6. Wittmer VL, Paro FM, Duarte H, Capellini VK, Barbalho-Moulim MC. Early mobilization and physical exercise in patients

A narrative literature review. Complement *Ther Clin Pract.* 2021;43:101364. doi:10.1016/j.ctcp.2021.101364 7. Shirley Ryan AbilityLab. Rehabilitation measures database. Available at: https://www.sralab.org/rehabilitation-measures.

8. Functional Fitness Normative Scores for Community-Residing Older Adults, Ages. J Aging Phys Activ. 1999;7:162-181.



