

Correlating Cellular and Diagnostic Yield of Endobiliary Brush Cytology to Fine Needle Aspiration Using a New Large Caliber Endobiliary Brush in Suspected Pancreaticobiliary Malignancies - a Single Center Retrospective Review

Matthew Sullivan DO

Lehigh Valley Health Network, Matthew.Sullivan@lvhn.org

Joan Collette CRN

Lehigh Valley Health Network, Joan.Collette@lvhn.org

Shashin Shah MD

Lehigh Valley Health Network, Shashin.Shah@lvhn.org

Hiral N. Shah MD

Lehigh Valley Health Network, hiral_n.shah@lvhn.org

Follow this and additional works at: <http://scholarlyworks.lvhn.org/medicine>



Part of the [Medical Sciences Commons](#)

Published In/Presented At

Sullivan, Matthew J., DO, Collette, Joan, CRN, Shah, Shashin, MD and Shah, Hiral, MD. (2015, May 19). *Correlating Cellular and Diagnostic Yield of Endobiliary Brush Cytology to Fine Needle Aspiration Using a New Large Caliber Endobiliary Brush in Suspected Pancreaticobiliary Malignancies - a Single Center Retrospective Review*. Poster Presented at: Digestive Disease Week 2015 - Hosted by AASLD, AGA, ASGE, and SSAT, Washington, DC, USA.

This Poster is brought to you for free and open access by LVHN Scholarly Works. It has been accepted for inclusion in LVHN Scholarly Works by an authorized administrator. For more information, please contact LibraryServices@lvhn.org.

Correlating Cellular and Diagnostic Yield of Endobiliary Brush Cytology to Fine Needle Aspiration Using a New Large Caliber Endobiliary Brush in Suspected Pancreaticobiliary Malignancies - a Single Center Retrospective Review

Matthew J. Sullivan, DO, Joan Collette, CRN, Shashin Shah, MD, and Hiral Shah, MD
Lehigh Valley Health Network, Allentown, Pennsylvania

Background

- Endoscopic ultrasound guided fine needle aspiration (EUS-FNA) is used increasingly for the cytologic diagnosis of pancreaticobiliary malignancies. While some studies have suggested that EUS-FNA of malignant biliary stricture is superior to conventional endoscopic brushing,¹ other literature claims that either procedure alone is insufficient for reliable diagnosis.²
- Despite the growing use of EUS-FNA, endobiliary brush sampling during endoscopic retrograde cholangiopancreatography (ERCP) is a useful diagnostic tool and remains an initial method for evaluating biliary duct strictures (Figures 1 and 2), especially where no mass is visible on imaging.³
- However, traditional endobiliary brush sampling is known to have poor diagnostic yield and a variable sensitivity between 27-66%.⁴
- The US Endoscopy Infinity® ERCP sampling device is “built for collecting substantial and quality samples” by employing two types of bristles, spaces for collecting cells, a stiffer drive wire, and larger diameter brush.⁵
- The aim of our study is to investigate if results obtained from endobiliary brush cytology with the US Endoscopy Infinity® ERCP sampling device correlate to results obtained from EUS-FNA in patients with a suspected pancreaticobiliary malignancy.

Methods

- A systematic retrospective chart review at a large tertiary care referral center was performed.
- Over 200 patients underwent EUS-FNA and/or ERCP by two interventional endoscopists from January 1, 2013 to July 5, 2014.
- The procedures performed due to concern for pancreaticobiliary malignancy were reviewed and only patients who underwent both procedures were included. Patients with previously known gastrointestinal malignancy were excluded leaving a total population of 29 patients.
- Endobiliary brush cytology and EUS-FNA results were reviewed and compared for sample quality and diagnostic correlation.
- Sample quality was classified as adequate or inadequate for diagnosis and then stratified according to result as negative, atypical, suspicious, or diagnostic for malignancy.
- All endobiliary brush cytology was performed using the US Endoscopy Infinity® ERCP sampling device.

Results:

- Twenty-nine patients underwent both ERCP with endobiliary brush cytology and EUS-FNA due to concern for potential pancreaticobiliary malignancy. Twenty-one were combined procedures.
- Endobiliary brush cytology with the US Endoscopy Infinity® ERCP sampling device resulted in an adequate sample 97% of the time, whereas 76% of EUS-FNA were adequate.
- Only 52% of patients had correlating studies and this only improved to 62% when less than optimal and inadequate samples were removed.
 - When one study was diagnostic for malignancy, the other study correlated only 50% of the time.
 - After correcting for inadequate quality:
 - When EUS-FNA was diagnostic for malignancy, brush cytology correlated in 64% of cases.
 - When brush cytology was diagnostic for malignancy EUS-FNA had 70% correlation.
- On two occasions brush cytology was diagnostic for malignancy where EUS-FNA was not:
 - One less than optimal sample resulted as atypia (pancreatic adenocarcinoma) and one negative result (cholangiocarcinoma).

Patient Characteristics	
Age at Time of Study	Mean: 69.6 Range: 56-89
Gender	Male: 15 Female: 14
Race	Caucasian: 29
Mass Seen on Prior Imaging?	Yes: 9 No: 20
Transaminases and Total Bilirubin	Abnormal: 23 Normal: 1 Not Available: 5

Table 1. Baseline characteristics of patients included in our study.

Study Results	
Brush Quality	Adequate: 28 Less Than Optimal: 1
Brush Result	Positive: 10 Suspicious: 1 Atypical: 7 Negative: 11
FNA Quality	Adequate: 22 Less Than Optimal: 3 Inadequate: 4
FNA Result	Positive: 11 Atypical: 4 Negative: 10 No Result/Inadequate: 4
Tumor Diagnosis	Pancreatic Adenocarcinoma: 13 Cholangiocarcinoma: 2 Hepatic Angiosarcoma: 1 No Malignancy Identified: 13

Table 2. Results of endobiliary brush cytology and EUS-FNA and final tumor diagnosis based on either endoscopic procedure or surgical pathology.

Discussion:

- EUS-FNA and endobiliary brush cytology are both used in evaluation of potential pancreaticobiliary malignancies. However, as our data demonstrates, the results of these studies can have suboptimal correlation.
- Many factors can play into obtaining an adequate diagnosis with brush cytology: type of tumor,² location of the tumor,⁶ categorization of results,^{3,7,8} pathologist experience,⁹ sampling technique,^{6,10} and type of brush.⁹
- The literature has also suggested that brush cytology may be superior for biliary strictures and EUS-FNA for pancreatic masses.²
- Previous studies have demonstrated that newer technologies do not increase detection rates compared to traditional brush cytology.^{2,9}
- In our study the US Endoscopy Infinity® ERCP sampling device resulted in an adequate cellular yield in 97% of cases. This is much higher than previous literature has shown.
- We have also highlighted two cases where endobiliary brush cytology was able to diagnose malignancy where EUS-FNA was not: one pancreatic adenocarcinoma and one cholangiocarcinoma.
- Our study supports the claim that endobiliary brush cytology and EUS-FNA should be used in a complementary fashion² and suggests that brush cytology should remain the initial diagnostic test of choice for biliary strictures⁸ even where EUS-FNA is available, especially if a mass is not visualized on imaging.³

References:

- Hammoud, GM, Almashrawi, A, and Ibdah, JA. Usefulness of endoscopic ultrasound-guided fine needle aspiration in the diagnosis of hepatic, gallbladder and biliary tract lesions. *World J Gastrointest Oncol* 2014 Nov 15;6(11):420-429.
- Rosch, T, et al. ERCP or EUS for tissue diagnosis of biliary strictures? A prospective comparative study. *Gastrointest Endosc* 2004;60:390-396.
- Eiholm, S, et al. Endoscopic brush cytology from the biliary duct system is still valuable. *Dan Med J* 2013;60(7):A4656.
- Victor, DW, et al. Current endoscopic approach to indeterminate biliary strictures. *World J Gastroenterol* 2012 November 21;18(43):6197-6205.
- US Endoscopy Infinity® ERCP sampling device. http://www.usendoscopy.com/~media/Files/Documents/Spec-Sheet-US/infinity_ss_us_760699C.pdf
- Uchida, N, et al. Utility of pancreatic duct brushing for diagnosis of pancreatic carcinoma. *J Gastroenterol* 2007;42:657-662.
- Lee, JG, et al. Benign, dysplastic, or malignant – making sense of endoscopic bile duct brush cytology: results in 149 consecutive patients. *Am J Gastroenterol* 1995 May;90:722-726.
- Alizadeh, MAH, et al. Biliary brush cytology in the assessment of biliary strictures at a tertiary center in Iran. *Asian Pacific J Cancer Prev* 2011;12:2793-2796.
- Fogel, EL, et al. Effectiveness of a new long cytology brush in the evaluation of malignant biliary obstruction: a prospective study. *Gastrointest Endosc* 2006;63:71-77.
- Shieh, FK, et al. Improved ERCP Cytology Brush Design and Standardized Specimen Handling Yields More Tissue and Gives Higher Diagnostic Sensitivity in the Diagnosis of Malignant Biliary Strictures. Poster Presentation – Geisinger Health System, Danville, Pennsylvania. <http://www.usendoscopy.com/~media/Files/Documents/Poster/Diehl-Infinity-Poster-2013.pdf>



Figure 1. Cholangiogram during ERCP demonstrating a distal common bile duct stricture.




Figure 2. Cholangiogram demonstrating a high-grade obstructing intraluminal mass in the common hepatic duct in a patient found to have cholangiocarcinoma.